arXiv:hep-th/9703001v1 27 Feb 1997

THE LORENTZ-DIRAC EQUATION: THE INSTABILITY OF ”PHYSICAL” SOLUTION

Alexander A. Vlasov
High Energy and Quantum Theory
Department of Physics
Moscow State University
Moscow, 119899
Russia

The instability of ”physical” preaccelerative solution of the Lorentz-Dirac equation is explicitly shown

03.50.De

Since the famous Dirac’s paper on relativistic radiation reaction in classical electrodynamics, many textbooks and research articles were published on that theme. Among them are [1-11], where one can find the discussion of the related problems: mass renormalization, runaway solutions and the use of the advanced interaction.

However one problem, to my opinion, draws not enough attention in the literature - this is the problem of instability of preaccelerative ”physical” solution of relativistic Lorentz-Dirac equation.

In this article on the example of one-dimensional motion of a particle the instability of such solutions will be explicitly shown.

Let the point particle with mass m and charge e move under some external force F along x-axis. The relativistic Lorentz-Dirac equation reads:

$$\frac{m\dot{v}}{(1-(v/c)^2)^{3/2}} = F + \frac{2e^2}{3c^3}\left[\frac{\ddot{v}}{(1-(v/c)^2)^2} + \frac{3v(\dot{v})^2}{c(1-(v/c)^2)^3}\right] \tag{1}$$

here dot means differentiation with respect to t, $v = \dot{x}$.

Take the dimensionless variables η and τ, dimensionless force f and dimensionless radiation parameter γ and introduce scale multiplies a, b, p:

$$a \sim x_{cl},\ b \sim x_{cl},\ x_{cl} = \frac{e^2}{mc^2},\ p = \frac{a}{b}$$

$$x = a\eta,\ ct = b\tau,\ f = F\frac{b^2}{amc^2},\ \gamma = \frac{2}{3b}x_{cl},$$

here x_{cl} - the classical particle radius.

In terms of new variables equation (1) becomes

$$\frac{\ddot{\eta}}{(1-(p\dot{\eta})^2)^{3/2}} = f + \gamma\left[\frac{\dddot{\eta}}{(1-(p\dot{\eta})^2)^2} + \frac{3\dot{\eta}(\ddot{\eta})^2}{(1-(p\dot{\eta})^2)^3}\right] \tag{2}$$

here dot means differentiation with respect to τ.

With the help of relativistic ”velocity” u:

$$u = \frac{\dot{\eta}}{(1-(p\dot{\eta})^2)^{1/2}} = \frac{v/(cp)}{(1-(v/c)^2)^{1/2}} \tag{3}$$

”acceleration” w:

$$w = \frac{du}{d\tau} \tag{4}$$

and dimensionless proper time s:

$$\frac{b}{c(1-(v/c)^2)^{1/2}}\frac{d}{dt} = (1+(pu)^2)^{1/2}\frac{d}{d\tau} = \frac{d}{ds}$$

equation (2) can be put in more simple form:

$$w = f + \gamma\frac{dw}{ds} \tag{5}$$

The ”solution” of (5) is obvious:

$$w = w(s) = -\frac{1}{\gamma}\exp{(s/\gamma)}\int\limits_{s_0}^{s} dx f(x)\exp{(-x/\gamma)} \tag{6}$$

with ”initial” value w_0:

$$w_0 = \left(\frac{\ddot{\eta}}{(1-(p\dot{\eta})^2)^{3/2}}\right)_{s=0} = \frac{1}{\gamma}\int\limits_{0}^{s_0} dx f(x)\exp{(-x/\gamma)}$$

Integration of (4), taking into consideration (3) and (6), yields the following ”solution” (strictly speaking, the integral equation) for particle velocity v:

$$\frac{1}{p}\ln\sqrt{\frac{(1+v/c)(1-v_0/c)}{(1-v/c)(1+v_0/c)}} =$$

$$\int_0^s dz f(z) - \exp(s/\gamma) \int_0^s dz f(z) \exp(-z/\gamma) + \frac{1}{\gamma} w_0 (\exp(s/\gamma) - 1) \tag{7}$$

here v_0- the "initial" velocity.

The form of Lorentz-Dirac equation similar to (7) was found in Parrott's works [4-7]. The form (7) is convenient for analysis. Thus from it immediately follows that:

(i) The peculiar features of Lorentz-Dirac equation do not qualitatively depend on scale multiplies a, b, p, so these features are valid as for "small", so for "large", "classical" distances.

(ii) If conditions of a problem permit to consider the limit $s \to \infty$ (all integrals in (7) are not divergent for $s < \infty$) then all "solutions" (7) must be "runaway" - $|v| \to c$, with one exception.

(iii) This exception is the particular case of zero asymptotic value of "acceleration" w: $s_0 = \infty$ in (6) and

$$w_0 = \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^\infty dx f(x) \exp(-x/\gamma) \tag{8}$$

With (8), the R.H.S. of (7) takes the form

$$\int_0^s dz f(z) + \exp(s/\gamma) \int_s^\infty dz f(z) \exp(-z/\gamma) - \frac{1}{\gamma} w_0 \tag{9}$$

Then for $s \to \infty$ and for "well defined" force f the velocity v does not reach c. But the price for this is the preacceleration and backward in time integration with accompanying paradoxes (some of them are discussed in [7,8,9]).

(iv) In literature the "solution" (9) is often called "physical", but from equation (7) it is easy to see that (9) is unstable under small deviations of "acceleration" w from zero value at infinite "future": due to (7) these initially small at $s = +\infty$ deviations δ grow at least as e^δ.

The instability of the "physical" solution one can also verify with the help of numerical calculation: the instability was found in [10] and in recent calculations, made by author for the force f formed by external charge at rest.

Following (iv) one can state that there are no stable "nonrunaway" solutions of Lorentz-Dirac equation, at least in one-dimensional case.

Further, if one rejects unstable solutions and takes only "runaway" solutions, then for $s \to \infty$ (if problem under consideration permits this) these solutions:

(i) may give infinite particle "acceleration" w at "future" (and many examples of physical force f do lead to this);

(ii) tend to light cone with $|v| \to c$ (and as particle trajectory tends to light cone, the retarded time t_{ret} near particle trajectory may differ strongly from the laboratory time t).

These features can contradict the standard methods to obtain relativistic Lorentz-Dirac equation, when the following conditions must be fulfilled (see, for ex., [11]):

$$t_{ret} - t = \epsilon, \quad \epsilon \to 0, \quad 1 \gg w\epsilon/(1-(v/c)^2)^{1/2}$$

Then, if it is so, two possibilities are left:
(i) to consider unstable solutions as corresponding to the real physics;
(ii) to reject Lorentz-Dirac equation and to search for new one.

1. F.Rohrlich, *Classical Charged Particles*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1965.

2. A.Sokolov, I.Ternov, *Syncrotron Radiation*, Pergamon Press, NY, 1968. A.Sokolov, I.Ternov, *Relativistic Electron* (in russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1983.

3. D.Ivanenko, A.Sokolov, *Classical field theory* (in russian), GITTL, Moscow, 1949

4. S.Parrott, *Relativistic Electrodynamics and Differential Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1987.

5. C.Teitelboim, Phys.Rev., 1970, D1, p.1572; D2, p.1763.

6. E.Glass, J.Huschilt and G.Szamosi, Am.J.Phys., 1984, v.52, p.445.

7. S.Parrott, Found.Phys., 1993, v.23, p.1093.

8. W.Troost et al., preprint hep-th/9602066, 1996

9. A.Vlasov, preprint hep-th/9702177, 1997

10. J.Kasher, Phys.Rev.,1976, D14, p.939.

11. S. de Groot, L.Suttorp *Foundations of Electrodynamics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1972